



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/757,505		01/15/2004	Caroline Delattre	016800-583	6320	
21839	21839 7590 12/28/2005			EXAMINER		
		ERSOLL PC	FERNANDEZ, SUSAN EMILY			
(INCLUDIN POST OFFI		NS, DOANE, SWECI 1404	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				1651		
				DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Commons	10/757,505	DELATTRE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Susan E. Fernandez	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO   - Failure Any re	PRIENT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (IX) (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Oc</u>	<u>ctober 2005</u> .					
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌 🤃	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
(	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) 1-11,13,14,16,17,21,24,25,27-29 and la) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet are subject to	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment  1) Notice	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	r (PTO-413)				
2) Notice Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D					

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims subject to restriction and/or election requirement are 1-11,13,14,16,17,21,24,25,27-29 and 32-42.

Art Unit: 1651

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment filed October 6, 2005, has been received and entered.

Claims 1-11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 21, 24, 25, 27-29, 32-42 are pending. In view of the amendment to the claims, a restriction is required. Note that claim 14 depends on a cancelled claim.

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- (a) the additional ingredients recited in claim 17 (beta-hydroxy acid; alpha-hydroxy acid; alpha or beta-keto acid; urea; gentisic acid; oligofucoses; cinnamic acid; Saphora japonica extract; resveratrol or derivative thereof; glycosidase, *stratum corneum* chymotryptic enzyme or other serine or cysteine protease; chelating agent; aminosulphonic compound; sugar derivative; reducing agent and/or retinoid); and
- (b) the diseases listed in claim 24 (hyperkeratosis, xerosis, ichtyosis, psoriasis, reactive keratosis).
  - (c) the compounds recited in claim 33, which are also recited in claims 34-42.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a **single** disclosed species of from each of (a), (b), (c) set forth above, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-11, 13, 14, 16, 21, 25, 27-29, and 32 are generic.

Thus, a properly responsive election would appear as follows: --

Applicant hereby elects, with traverse, retinoid as the additional ingredient of species (a), hyperkeratosis as the disease of species (b), and asparaginase as the compound of species (c).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan E. Fernandez whose telephone number is (571) 272-3444. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan E. Fernandez Assistant Examiner Art Unit 1651

sef

EBANCISCO PRATS
PRIMARY EXAMINER